2016 Medicare Shared Savings Quality Measure Scorecard Final Results

OneCare Vermont

Version 1.0 10/17/2017



NOTICE: All data produced by OneCare VT is for the sole use of its contracted OneCare VT Participants and must not be distributed to other individuals or entities who do not hold a legally binding contract with OneCare VT. These materials are confidential and may only be used in connection with OneCare VT activities. The use of these materials is subject to the provisions of the Business Associate Agreement and/or Participation or Collaboration Agreement with OneCare VT.

Prepared by KM 8/9/2017

Quality Measure Scorecard Introduction

All ACOs are required to completely and accurately report quality data used to calculate and assess their quality performance in order to participate in shared savings (if available).

Notes:

Medicare Shared Savings Program **benchmarks** changed from 2015 to 2016. In this final scorecard **10 measures** have different benchmarks from 2015, ACO 5: Health Promotion and Education, ACO 6: Shared Decision Making, ACO 8: Risk Standardized, All Conditions Readmissions, ACO 9: ASC Admissions: COPD or Asthma in Older Adults, ASC Admission: Heart Failure, ACO 11: Percent of PCPs who Qualified for EHR Incentive Payments, ACO 13: Falls Risk, ACO 18: Depression Screening, ACO 28: Hypertension and ACO 33: ACE Inhibitor or ARB Therapy.

- 4 of these (ACO 11, ACO 18, ACO 28 and ACO 33) were set using flat percentages. CMS used flat percentages to addresses issues with measures that have an overall high level of performance and allow more room for improvement for ACOs.
- Scoring was impacted for ACO 18: Depression Screening. The adherence rate increased significantly from 2015 to 2016 (35.42 to 46.95) but the percentile and points earned decreased (70th to 40th, 1.70 to 1.25).
- ACO 20: Mammography Screening raw score decreased significantly from 2015 to 2016 for both samples. The sample size
 was influenced by patients that only had a 3D mammography. These patients were removed from the total n. There were
 a lower number of screening done on the remaining population, with 3D mammography included, our rate would be 70.51
 for this year.
- Quality Improvement points were awarded this year for 2 domains, Preventative Care and At-Risk Population (see slide 3 for more detail).
- **Reverse scored measures** are those where a lower adherence rate indicates better performance on the measures, for Medicare: ACO-8, ACO-9, ACO-10, ACO-35, ACO-36, ACO-37, ACO-38, ACO-27.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Medicare updated the measure specifications for ACO-11 from the time the embargoed results were released. They expanding the definition, included more exemptions and changed the exclusion criteria. This resulted in an increase in score for OCV as well an increase in QI points for that domain, improving our overall score.

OneCareVermont



21

42

40

Diabetes

31

33

At-Risk Populations

P= Performance Measure, R= Reporting Measure

-Medicare awards full points for Reporting Measures

and points for Performance Measures based on benchmarks

OCV 2016 Quality Measure Scores: Medicare

Performance Year 4: Reporting and Performance Measures

No benchmark available 2016 Percentile 2015 Percentile 2016 & 2015 Percentile (No Change) Based on benchmarks from the scoring year.

	and points for	refrontiance ineasures based on benchmarks		P	oints Bas	ed on Ber	nchmarks	from Rep	orting Ye	ar		Adheren	nce Rates						
	Measure		PY 2016	30th (1.10)	40th (1.25)	50th (1.40)	60th (1.55)	70th (1.70)	80th (1.85)	90th (2.00)	OCV 2013	OCV 2014	OCV 2015	OCV 2016	Significant Change	CMS QI	2016 Num	2016 Denom	Quality Points 2016
	1	Getting Timely Care, Appointments, and Information	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	83.81	85.01	79.26	82.03			-	287	1.85
ē	2	How Well Your Doctors Communicate	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	92.54	92.47	93.39	92.76			-	286	2.00
ė,	3	Patients' Rating of Doctor	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	91.84	91.45	92.25	91.96			-	271	2.00
Patient/Caregiver	4	Access to Specialists	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	82.21	86.00	79.71	80.62			-	128	1.85
ĭ	5	Health Promotion and Education	Р	56.27	57.44	58.27	59.23	60.17	61.37	63.41	59.46	60.61	57.55	58.11			-	322	1.25
ţi.	6	Shared Decision Making	Р	73.45	74.06	74.57	75.16	75.84	76.60	77.66	75.98	73.81	75.71	78.63			-	264	2.00
Pa	7	Health Status/Functional Status	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73.70	74.12	75.19	76.79			-	319	2.00
	34	Stewardship and Patient Resources	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	20.26	16.58			-	307	2.00
	8	Risk Standardized, All Condition Readmissions	Р	15.32	15.19	15.07	14.97	14.87	14.74	14.54	14.75	14.84	14.73	15.11			-	-	1.25
	35	Skilled Nursing Facility 30-day All-Cause Readmission measure (SNFRM)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	15.72	16.09			-	-	2.00
5	36	All-Cause Unplanned Admissions for Patients with Diabetes	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	52.08	54.83		∇	-	-	2.00
aţi	37	All-Cause Unplanned Admissions for Patients with Heart Failure	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	83.26	81.85		Δ	-	-	2.00
ġ	38	All-Cause Unplanned Admissions for Patients with Multiple Chronic	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	66.82	66.29			-	-	2.00
Coordination	9	ASC Admissions: COPD or Asthma in Older Adults	Р	70.00	60.00	50.00	40.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	1.25	0.89	0.83	8.46			-	-	2.00
_	10	ASC Admission: Heart Failure	Р	25.04	22.13	19.67	17.28	14.95	12.04	8.31	1.22	1.07	0.87	15.89		∇	-	-	1.55
Care	11	Percent of PCPs who Qualified for EHR Incentive Payment*	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	57.55	72.26	97.83	98.80		Δ	656	664	4.00
	39	Documentation of Current Medications in the Medical Record	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	79.03	96.11		Δ	1,384	1,440	2.00
	13	Falls: Screening for Fall Risk	Р	25.26	32.26	40.02	47.62	57.70	67.64	82.30	46.30	47.31	65.56	74.80		Δ	285	381	1.85
	14	Preventative Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	71.36	63.81	68.15	76.87		Δ	216	281	1.70
_	15	Pneumococcal Vaccination Status for Older Adults	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	77.73	77.80	84.70	89.37		Δ	227	254	1.85
Health	16	Preventive Care and Screening: Adult Weight Screening and Follow-up	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	70.94	70.81	71.94	72.44			184	254	1.70
	17	Tobacco Use Screening and Cessation Intervention	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	91.37	96.67	93.46	97.54		Δ	594	609	2.00
ive	18	Depression Screening	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	24.71	28.07	35.42	46.95		Δ	239	509	1.25
Preventive	19	Colorectal Cancer Screening	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	65.33	70.27	70.36	73.36			190	259	1.70
ř	20	Mammography Screening	Р	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80.00	90.00	68.04	71.12	75.14	57.54	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	▽	229	398	1.40
Δ.	21	Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-up Documented	P	30.00	40.00	50.00	60.00	70.00	80 OO	90.00	68 66	66.43	80.62	87 13	\triangle	Δ	298	3/12	1 25

Р

R

R

Р

30.00

30.00

30.00

30.00

40.00

40.00

40.00

40.00

50.00

50.00

50.00

50.00

50.00

60.00

60.00

60.00

60.00

70.00

70.00

70.00

70.00

70.00

80.00

80.00

80.00

80.00

90.00

90.00

90.00

90.00

90.00

68.66

N/A

N/A

N/A

67.04

86.65

81.78

N/A

66.43

N/A

N/A

N/A

70.57

90.02

84.12

N/A

80.62

N/A

4.35

53.85

71.21

92.86

80.52

84.75

87.13

88.76

4.35

53.99

68.51

93.33

89.30

86.08

Statistically significant improvement or decline in adherence rate from last year to this year = defined by OCV as based on p-value <0.05 = defined by CMS as significant change with multiple factors included

Hypertension (HTN): Controlling High Blood Pressure

Depression Remission at Twelve Months

Composite (>9 percent)ACO #41: Diabetes - Eye Exam

Beta-Blocker Therapy for LVSD

ACO 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18, 28 and 33 had different benchmarks in 2015.

2016 Final 2015 Final Percent Score Score Change 96.88% 96.10% 0.81%

298

229

1

142

396

518

167

167

342

258

23

263

578

555

187

194

Total:

1.85

2.00

2.00

2.00

1.55

2.00

1.85

1.85

62.30

Note: Two significance indicators are included because: OCV calculates significance internally. CMS uses a significance test along with other factors to determine significant improvement for QI points.

Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-up Documented

Statin Therapy for the Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease

ACO #27:Percent of beneficiaries with diabetes whose HbA1c in poor control

Percent of beneficiaries with IVD who use Aspirin or other antithrombotic

ACE Inhibitor or ARB Therapy for Patients with CAD and Diabetes and/or LVSD



OCV 2016 Quality Measure Scores: Medicare

Performance Year 4: Reporting and Performance Measures

				ocv			Quali	ty Improvement	Detail*		Final Sc	ores
Domain	Number of Individual Measures	Total Measures for Scoring Purposes	Total Possible Points	Points Scored	Domain Scores	Measures Eligible for QI Points	Net Improvement	Domain Improvement Score	Quality Improvement Points	Points Scored with QI added	Scores with	Domain Weight
Patient/Caregiver												
Experience	8	8 individual survey module measures	16	14.95	93.44%	8	0	0.00%	0.00	14.95	93.44%	25%
Care Coordination/		10 measures, plus the EHR measure										
Patient Safety	10	double-weighted (4 points)	22	20.65	93.86%	11	+3	27.27%	0.92	21.57	98.05%	25%
Preventive Health	9	9 measures	18	15.45	85.83%	8	+4	50.00%	2.24	17.69	98.28%	25%
		6 measures, including a 2-component										
At-Risk Population	7	diabetes composite measure	12	11.25	93.75%	6	+1	16.67%	0.48	11.73	97.75%	25%
Total in all Domains	34	33	68	30.55								100%

	*Quality Improvement Calculation Detail							
Step 1	Calculate the change in performance for each eligible measure, el							
	measures are defined as any measure with scores for both 2014 and 2015							
Step 2	Determine, for each measure, whether the change in performance was							
Jicp 2	statistically significant at a 95 percent confidence level.							
	Calculate Net Improvement for each domain:							
	Net Improvement = # of significantly improved measures - # of							
	significantly declined							
Step 3	Note: In the event that an ACO shows a statistically significant decline in a measure							
otep o	from one year to the next, but still scores above 90 percent (or above the 90th							
	percentile benchmark in the case of certain claims-based measures) in both years,							
	CMS will consider this change as a "no change" in performance instead of a							
	significant decline in performance when calculating the Domain Improvement Score.							
Ctom 1	Calculate Domain Improvement score:							
Step 4 Domain Improvement Score = Net Improvement/eligible measure								
Use the Scoring Ladder provided to determine points award								
Step 5	domain.							

ring Ladder					
Quality Improvement Points					
4.0 points 3.56 points					
2.68 points					
2.24 points					
1.8 points					
1.36 point					
0.92 point					
0.48 point					
No points					

2016 Final 2015 Final Score Score

96.88% 96.10%

Percent Change



0.81%